

The Week

A NEWS ANALYSIS FOR SOCIALISTS
Vol. 4, No. 13. 7th October, 1965

6^D

- IMMIGRATION AND
Mr. WILSON
- ADEN
- DEMONSTRATIONS
AT BLACKPOOL
- SOCIALIST INCOMES
POLICY
- WIPE AWAY LABOUR'S
SHAME!

AFTER BLACKPOOL

Sponsors: Frank Allaun, M.P. - Perry Anderson - Chris Arthur - Julian Atkinson - Michael Barratt Brown - Norman Buchan, M.P. - Neil Carmichael, M.P. - Raymond Challinor - Henry Collins - Lawrence Daly - John Daniels - Peggy Duff - Ray Gosling - Richard Fletcher - Trevor Griffiths - Eric Heffer, M.P. - Ellis Hillman - Dave Lambert - Ralph Miliband - Stan Mills - Jim Mortimer - Tom Nairn - Dick Nettleton - Stan Newens, M.P. - John Rex - Ernie Roberts - Alan Rooney - David Steele - Professor E. A. Thompson - E. P. Thompson - Tony Topham - William Warbey, M.P. - Raymond Williams - Bert Wynn - Konni Zilliacus, M.P. - Robin Blackburn - Ken Coates - Chris Farley - Ralph Schoenman - Eric Varley, M.P. - Earl Russell, O.M. - Malcolm Caldwell

54 Park Road, Lenton, Nottingham

Subscription: £2 per annum and pro rata

- WIFE AWAY LAJORS
- SHAMES
- FOLLO
- SOCIETY WOMEN
- DEMONSTRATIONS AT BARRIOO
- AGEN
- THE YIPSON

THE WAGER

A NEWS ANALYSIS FOR...
 Vol. 4, No. 13, 1st Series, 1932

BLACKPOOL AFTER

Speakers: Frank Albert, H.J. - Jack Johnson - Chris Adler - John Adkins - Mildred Barks Brown - Norman...
 ...
 ...

CONTENTS

Page	1	Editorial.
"	2	Wipe out Labour's shame.
"	3	Church support for Medical Aid to Vietnam campaign.
"	4	The need for a socialist incomes policy.
"	5	Fords shop stewards write to Mr. Wilson.
"	6	Demonstrations at Blackpool.
"	7	News about <u>The Week</u> .
"	8	Aden.
"	9	Over to you, Mrs. Barbara Castle!
"	10	Three months imprisonment for taking a job!
"	11	Russe 11 on Gunter.
"	12	Mr. Wilson and immigration.

AFTER BLACKPOOL - THE FIGHT GOES ON

No left winger - or for that matter, no socialist - could be pleased by the results of the Blackpool Conference. However, it is necessary to say that it was, perhaps, not so bad as it appeared from press and television reports. It is true that the left lost on every important issue. That a number of changes have taken place in the programme and complexion of the Labour Party; it is now a party which officially condones American mass murder in Vietnam, it is now a party which legislates against trade unions and, lastly, it is now a colour bar party (anyone who doubts that should find out from delegates what Bob Mellish said.) That is one side of the balance sheet; the other side is that at that conference because an important section of the left stood firm the basis of a national left wing was laid.

On Tuesday, Mr. Wilson had the conference cheering - with the important exception of the TGWU delegation and a section of the left - but on Wednesday when he replied to the Vietnam debate, Mr. Wilson had to posture for polite applause. That a firm left wing stand corresponded to the feelings and desires of a very large number of delegates was shown by reactions to the Briefing. Delegates from all over the country, including many trade unionists whose vote was cast for the right because of the block vote system, warmly congratulated the distributors of Briefing. The money they donated for it was another important indication. By standing firm left-wingers were not isolated.

The urgent issue of the day is to fight between now and the opening of Parliament for steel nationalisation, with workers control, to be featured in the Queen's speech; against the colour bar immigration bill; against anti-union legislation; for cuts in arms expenditure; for an intensification of the fight against the American war of aggression in Vietnam; and for the admission of the N.L.F. representatives. Mr. Wilson and his team must be made to understand that socialist principles are infinitely more important than the paper victories obtained by manipulation and mesmerism. Every organisation in the Labour movement must be made to realise that not to fight against the Government's policies is court disaster for socialism, for working class standards and for the Labour Party. The aim of this campaign must be to reverse Government policy. Those MPs who have spoken of "last ditches" must be supported and reinforced.

BLACKPOOL BRIEFING: A composite pamphlet consisting of the briefing material issued day-by-day in Blackpool, plus other important material, is to be published jointly by The Week & Voice of the Unions. This will provide an insight to Blackpool conference of an invaluable nature. Price 1/6d per copy.

WIPE OUT LABOUR'S SHAME

by Ken Coates

(Editorial note: this is the text of the speech made seconding the composite resolution on Vietnam at the Labour Party conference.)

Mr. Wilson and Mr. Stewart have set their faces against this resolution. They are maintaining that it is an extremist one. This is not true. It is a moderate resolution: one which is so moderate, that in the face of the terror and misery of the people of Vietnam, I had great difficulty in bringing myself to support it. But I think it must be supported: because it gives every delegate present who has any regard for libertarian, socialist, humanist values the chance to stand up and be counted.

The essence of the resolution is that it calls upon the Government to dissociate itself from American policy. Not to 'condemn', 'defy' or 'oppose': but simply to dissociate. If every delegate understands the nature of the Vietnam war, this elementary request will be carried unanimously. What is this war? It is a national liberation struggle, part of a worldwide fight against old empires, by the hungry peoples of the third world. It has developed, sometimes under one banner, sometimes under another, sometimes under one of the varieties of world communism, in India, through Asia, Africa, and Latin America. It is the biggest social upheaval of our time.

In Vietnam, this war has been going on for twenty-five years. It began against the Japanese. It continued under the French. Now it is against the Americans. Every village, for all that time, has felt the weight of its terror. In every town-square the guillotine was set up to kill suspect malcontents. Hundreds of thousands have been killed, tortured and maimed. As a direct result, the overwhelming majority of the Vietnamese people have come round to the side of Ho Chi Minh, a fact which has been witnessed in the past by President Eisenhower and numerous front bench spokesmen of our party, including Mr. Crossman- I only wish that he had the courage to say today what he said a few years^{ago}, because under the Americans it has become ten times more true than it was then. Mr. Stewart talks about North and South Vietnam. There are not two Vietnams, any more than there were 2 Frances when that country was cut in half by Hitler during the second world war. Half Vietnam has been annexed by the Americans, who installed at the beginning of the year their umpteenth puppet, who then said: "My only hero is Hitler. We need 4 or 5 Hitlers in Vietnam." Under his regime, 8 million peasants, 59% of the population, are herded into concentration camps called 'strategic hamlets'.

There is in Vietnam a very rightwing party, called the Democratic Party, which has as its motto 'For the defeat of communism everywhere'. This rightwing party has testified about these camps. They mean: 'a forced labour under the control of 300,000 secret police. The programme is planned for fifteen million people. It is the only conflict on record in which every means is employed to destroy one's own people by a series of barbaric attacks with American arms. These police commit numerous atrocities....' and again, this rightwing party says: 'It is certainly an ironic way to protect the peasant masses from communism...to herd them behind barbed wire walls under police control, to burn their villages. Poor as the Vietnamese are, they are not domestic animals.'

Now, as this genocidal war escalates, as the Americans move in more and more troops, the South Vietnamese conscript soldiers themselves are being confined to these camps, to stop them from deserting en masse.

cont'd over...

Why can't the most powerful military nation on earth defeat these poor peasants? They fight with the most primitive weapons, they fight without pay, they risk their lives and the lives of their families in a desperate struggle against indiscriminate and total terror... Bombs which slice people into fragments, napalm which burns away its powerless living victim with a fire that cannot be quenched until he sees his flesh in ashes, mass eviscerations, torture as a systematic device. People say that the Vietcong also use terror. So they do: they use limited discriminate terror against the occupiers and their quislings, after repeated warnings, as did the resistance in Europe. American bombs kill everything, poison everyone, char all the life within their range, which is at the limit of scientific fiendishness.

How can men find the will to fight such awful things? They find this will from the same springs which inspired our grandfathers to form unions, and our own fight against fascism. If delegates here were Vietnamese and saw the walls of American outposts, decorated with strings of human ears (The American liberal press reports such scenes every day of the week) if they saw the mutilated women, the butchered children, who can doubt that they would be with the Vietcong? They would have no choice, just as, during the war, we had no choice but to fight, with the communists, against fascism.

The Government's support of this evil American war is the worst shame ever to be inflicted on our Labour movement. (At this point the chairman, Gunter, intervened to silence the speaker) They say that the bank rate is 6%. But what is the interest which Vietnamese children are paying on the vast loan with which the Americans saved the £? I appeal to the unions: you too, tomorrow, will be asked to yield your powers to the £. It is not worth these sacrifices. If you vote against this resolution you are supporting these murders and mutilations. By voting for this very mild resolution, at least you take a step to wipe out our shame.

CHURCH SUPPORT FOR MEDICAL AID TO VIETNAM

by J.M. Blackman

"Has the Church nothing to say on Vietnam?---J.C.H. (Bristol)."

The reply from Paul Oestreicher of the British Council of Churches in their publication Outlook to this reader runs as follows: "To be silent about the agony of the people of Vietnam is to condone the atrocities of a war which does not come near to being just. If Christians were aware of their role as peace-makers every MP would be submerged with protests at our Government's cowardly support of American policy. Having half-heartedly taken sides with Johnson posing as Goldwater, Harold Wilson still poses as a mediator. This is an insult to the intelligence of the electorate and an insult the electorate deserves. If the Government is defeated at the next election it will be because prices have risen, not because murder has been condoned. That brands us as the real culprits."

He suggests then that the least we can do is to send money to the British Medical Aid for Vietnam Committee, and continues: "Through the Red Cross this will go to North Vietnam as well, where American bombs fall on hospitals and churches and not only on radar stations. "If your enemy hunger, feed him...'" Enemy? Could any of the peasants of this crucified people be our enemies?"

This appeared in the Sheffield edition of Outlook, which carries a letter from the Bishop of Sheffield as a sort of parish magazine for the diocese, for this month, September, 1965.

THE NEED FOR A SOCIALIST INCOMES POLICY

by Leo Keely.

Editorial Note: this is the text of a speech which would have been made had not the platform manoeuvred the West Nottingham resolution on incomes policy off the conference agenda. They obviously feared a real discussion on what a socialist incomes policy should be!

I am well qualified, coming from Nottingham, to speak on a socialist incomes policy. It was a Nottingham^{man} who started the idea. His name was Robin Hood. His policy, which still fevers our imagination, was to rob the rich to pay the poor. It is time that this government of ours followed that inspired example as contained in Clause Four.

We are not suggesting that we can reach Utopia in the first year of a Labour Government. We are well aware of what state the country was in when the Tories were thrown out. Yet we are certain that put to them properly, the working people of this country will make sacrifices if it is necessary. But to ask them to make these sacrifices it must be shown that basic changes in the structure and control of the economy are taking place. There must be a growth in their power and a reduction in the arbitrary powers of the employers. The working people have not been attracted towards any incomes policy whilst they see this Labour Government dragging its feet on steel and land nationalisation. If as much zeal had been devoted to controlling the bankers as to criticising trade unionists this party would have a far greater show of unity in conference today.

What are the preconditions of a socialist incomes policy? I would say that our resolution lays them down quite clearly. It certainly cannot begin with a situation where many workers in this country are receiving less than £10 per week. If Mr. Brown really thinks that this is a living wage, then I would suggest that he attempts what Ernest Bevin did when he was making his case for the dockers: try to live on it.

It is a scandal that an incomes policy should be under discussion without the firm expression for and action by the government on the question of equal pay for women. Above all it should never be entertained before a guaranteed minimum wage is actually established - and when one talks of a minimum wage that means also a living wage. However serious is the state of the economy, there is one reform that costs nothing. The trades unions must have the right to judge from the findings of opening the employers' books what sort of an incomes policy they should have. At the moment they are unaware of the facts as to how much they are being exploited. Mr. Brown recently pointed out that wage increases are out in the open and can be seen by all. Mr. Woodcock told the Institute of Directors last year that they were too scared to disclose their profits. Of course they want to conceal them. We can guess at some of them, but generally we know far too little.

The vital secrets are being kept hidden. What we say is: "open the books." Let us have everything out in the open. Let us know whether these people are cheating the incomes and prices policy or not. The boss and tax office see through Pay As You Earn that they have the last ounce out of the employee. Why, therefore, shouldn't the unions be allowed to assist the Inland Revenue to stop the employer's tax fiddles and expense account rackets? Until the

continued

The Need for a Socialist Incomes Policy (continued)

unions have this sort of information their members are the only ones bound to suffer. Why shouldn't we scan the books? We can study the accounts of local councils down to the last penny. What is so sacred about profit? The present incomes policy keeps us in the dark and the employers can see we are blindfolded. Mr. Brown has done nothing whatsoever to take any power away from the employers but we are constantly hearing threats against fundamental trade union rights. Trade unionists throughout this land have built this movement in hard won battles and they will not succumb to threats or intimidation wherever it comes from. I will say finally that the first blow against these threats will be at this conference when you vote for this resolution.

FORDS' SHOP STEWARDS WRITE TO MR. WILSON

from J. Bedford

The following letter was sent to the Prime Minister on 25th September:

"At a recent meeting of the Ford Chassis, KD & Hot-Metal Shop Stewards Committee I was instructed to write informing you that the following resolution was passed by an overwhelming majority:

'That this Shop-Stewards Committee condemns most strongly the disparaging and harmful remarks of the Prime Minister, and other members of his Government, especially the Minister of Labour, Mr. Ray Gunter, about Shop Stewards of this country which have been given wide publicity in the national press in recent months.

'We sadly deplore the fact that a Government that we helped to... get elected, and of whom we expected so much, should stoop to laying the blame for our economic troubles at the doorstep of the workers and their trade unions, and especially the scapegoat of all, the shop steward.

'We believe that the Government would do better to emphasise to the electorate the inefficiencies of managements and the fact that low wages encourage those inefficiencies. That under-production is the fault of these inefficient managers and not the fault of the unions and shop stewards for repeatedly lodging claims for wage increases.'

I am asked to stress that these attacks on the unions and shop stewards can only do harm in the long run to the Labour Government. That to pander to what appears to be popular at the moment - "knocking the trade unions and shop floor representatives" - is a dangerous way to be seeking popularity at the polls.

We are the last to deny that our trade union movement needs reforming and is open at times to justified criticism, but let us reform ourselves and amend our ways ourselves; don't threaten legislation. Let us see more legislation to curb the real threats to our economy and country, the one-sided distribution of wealth, the land racket, dividends, price increases, stock exchange gambles, rent rackets and such like; these are the things that need tackling. These people will never reform themselves, we have and we will.

Yours most sincerely,
J. Bedford (Secretary)"

There were four big demonstrations during the conference week at Blackpool. In each case we had the position of workers demonstrating against their "own" party because of the policies of the Government. The first, that by bread roundsmen, has had plenty of publicity so it is only necessary to note it briefly. The most important aspect of this demonstration was the chanting by the demonstrators that they "would vote for the others next time." This again underlines the fact that workers when they become disillusioned by right wing social democracy do not, as certain mechanical marxists predict, automatically become left wing. They will only do so if they see some organisation which offers to them a perspective of successful struggle.

The next to demonstrate were 40-odd workers from the Darlington locomotive works. They were protesting against the decision, announced the Friday before the conference, to close down their works. In a statement by the Works Council they said: "The Prime Minister has said that railway shops are to be allowed to tender for commercial contracts. We agree - but what good will this be if the Railway Board continues to close the workshops? Our workshop, threatened by Beeching's axe since 1962, is now to close in April, 1966. Eight months ago, our case was put to the Transport Minister and the Railway Board. Suspension of closure and a full enquiry was requested. No answer to our case has been given. If the Minister of Transport will not revoke the decision, then the Prime Minister must intervene. The closure must be halted and a public enquiry held."

This, very clearly, is yet another case of the Government carrying on a Tory policy in relation to the nationalised industries. Far from increasing the area of social ownership, Mr. Wilson and co. are reducing it. And they are doing so on the basis of 'profitability' without any consideration to the social consequences for the workers concerned. Moreover, this particular episode is marked by a high-handed attitude and a refusal to grant the very modest demand that the closure be halted until an enquiry is held. It is to be hoped that readers of the Week will find the ways and means of raising this question and supporting the Darlington locomotive works workers.

100 demonstrators came up from Plessey A.T. & E. factory in Liverpool. Their statement read: "We are here as representatives of 14,000 workers employed at Plesseys, A.T. & E. factory, Liverpool to lobby MPs for the retention of work on the Merseyside of a diversified nature. This is in conjunction with the policies propounded by...George Brown...and to support our case a petition of 14,000 signatures will be presented." The effort had obviously been very well planned by the Joint Staff and Crafts Association. The demonstrators had learnt special words to popular songs and entertained the delegates as they went in by chanting them. A very large number of unions were represented, judging from the banners carried. The workers are very disturbed by the transfer of work from their factory to another Plessey factory at Beeston, near Nottingham. I spoke to some of them and they told me that they were of the opinion that one of the factors involved was the militancy of the Liverpool workers. The firm thought that by transferring the work they could break the will of the shop stewards and workers to struggle for better conditions and rates. We agreed that the situation emphasised the need for real Government action, including nationalisation, to ensure work in the hard-hit areas.

It was sad to see 100 South Wales miners having to demonstrate against Labour policy. They issued a leaflet on the lines of a recent article in the Week. Their struggle, too, should be supported all over the country.

A four-strong delegation is in China from Britain. The four members of the delegation are sponsors of the newly formed Society for Anglo-Chinese Understanding (SACU). They have gone as guests of the Foreign Cultural Association and hope to see some of the principal cities as well as study aspects of particular interest to each one of them. Ernie Roberts, of course, will be specially interested to see their engineering. Mary Adams, the only lady, is vice-chairman of the Consumers' Association and is connected with television. Robert Bolt, the playwright, and Professor Hugh Trevor-Roper, the historian, are the other two members of the party.

Ernie is prepared to speak to certain organisations about the visit when he returns. The party is due back around the second week in October. Ernie can be contacted about speaking at: 43, Copers Cope Rd., Beckenham, Kent.

FOUR "WEEK" SPONSORS STAND FOR NATIONAL EXECUTIVE

Four sponsors of the Week stood for the Labour Party's National Executive, Constituency and Central Labour Parties sections. Three of them were newcomers to the election: Frank Allaun, Konni Ziliacus and Ken Coates. The other, Eric Heffer, increased his vote by over 50% this year, gaining 98,000 votes as against 62,000 last year. Frank Allaun established himself as a very serious contender for the executive by gaining 239,000 votes. He was second only to Sydney Silverman among the unsuccessful candidates. The lowest vote for successful candidates was 475,000 (that of Tom Driberg and Ian Mikardo.) Konni Ziliacus obtained 130,000 and Ken Coates 69,000.

THE "WEEK" AT BLACKPOOL

Supporters of the Week devoted their attention to helping to produce Briefing, a daily bulletin of some 10 to 16 pages. 1,200 copies of each issue were produced and this was a formidable undertaking. Working side by side with supporters of Voice of the Unions, the Labour Peace Fellowship, Labour C.N.D., the Bertrand Russell Peace Foundation, New Left Review and others, supporters of our journal worked until 3.00 a.m. and had to be up in time to distribute Briefing to delegates as they started to go in at 9.00 a.m.. Briefing was very much appreciated by the delegates, very many of whom came up and congratulated the distributors. They showed their support, too, by donating generously whenever we were allowed to collect money (which wasn't very often because of police regulations). Despite the main concentration being on Briefing nearly 200 copies of the Week were sold and contacts gained for its distribution literally all over the country.

MALCOLM CALDWELL JOINS THE "WEEK"

Observant readers will have noticed a new name in the list of sponsors in the last two issues: Malcolm Caldwell. Malcolm, aged 34, graduated in economics at Edinburgh and in politics at Nottingham. He joined the staff of the London School of Oriental and African Studies in 1959. Has since then visited several countries in South and South East Asia. Currently completing a book on problems of independence in Asia. Was associated with the Labour Party since school days. He writes regularly for Peace News and many journals. He says: "I have been reading the Week since its establishment, and greatly value its service in providing up-to-the-minute interpretations of events for socialists. The Week has very quickly made its mark on the British scene, as the frequency with which it is quoted in the press demonstrates."

"TEACH-IN" ON SECONDARY EDUCATION

from an education correspondent

The Leeds Association for the Advancement of State Education has organised a 'teach-in' on "The future of secondary education", which is to take place on Saturday, October 30th, at 2.30 p.m. The venue will be the Brain Wood County Secondary School, Elmete Lane, Leeds 8. Anyone may attend for whole or part of the "teach-in" as they like. The tea break will be at 5.00 p.m. approximately and the meeting should finish at 9.00 p.m.. Programmes, costing 3/6, can be obtained at the door. Arrangements have been made for teas, prices 5/-. These have to be ordered by telephone from the secretary. An attractive leaflet giving full details of the "teach-in" is available from: Mrs. Jennings, Secretary ASE (Leeds branch), 34, Dennistead Crescent, Leeds 6. Telephone Leeds 57527.

JOAN BAEZ CONCERT IN SHEFFIELD

Centre Concerts is to present a concert for CND in Sheffield on Tuesday 12th October. It is at the Sheffield City Hall and commences at 8.00 p.m. Joan Baez will be singing folk and protest songs. Tickets are available at 5/-, 7/6, 8/6, 10/6, 12/6, 15/-. 16/- and 21/- from Box Office, Wilson Peck Ltd., 64-70, Leopold St., Sheffield 1. You should enclose an SAE.

LABOUR POLICY IN SOUTH ARABIA

from Gertrude Elias

Editorial note: the following was published in a leaflet by the South Arabia Committee, 3, Shavers Place, London.S.W.

In answer to the British Government's abrogation of the constitution of Aden, the Aden Liberation Movement has called on all citizens to refuse to work under the British High Commissioner. Colonial Secretary Anthony Greenwood, two years ago still a brave sponsor of the Movement for Colonial Freedom, has to learn that the easy days of the Anglo-Arabian Empire are on the wane. Britain has been in power here for over a hundred years; now everybody from Chief Minister Mackawee down to the last street sweeper feels/has been long enough.

Mr. Greenwood tried in vain last August to find stooges willing to sell out, but Abdullah al Asnag- the leader of the Aden TUC and the People's Socialist Party- insisted that nothing less than the implementation of the U.N. resolution for self-determination and free elections under U.N. supervision was good enough. The resolution stipulated in particular that the British military base in Aden be evacuated. The Colonial office, true to tradition, asserts that the National Liberation Movement is stirred up by the U.A.R., and they want to make the world believe that "were it not for Pres.Nasser and Cairo, the Arabs in Aden would gladly reconcile themselves to all the squalor, to their drainless slums in the 'Crater' (Aden's "Native" quarter) without resentment...that they would watch the tanker fleets of the big oil companies which pass through Aden docks year in year out, carrying liquid gold to the West..."

No, Mr. Greenwood, the resentment of the Arabs is not due to the "Voice of Cairo" nor to the hidden hand of Moscow or Peking. Blindness due to malnutrition and poverty is certainly one of the scourges of British rule, but the evidence of the omni-present Anglo-American oil trusts cannot be overlooked, even by those of poor vision.

"ONE YEAR OF LABOUR GOVERNMENT" - A YCND MEETING

YCND has organised a meeting on the above theme. It will be held at the Mahatma Ghandi Hall, Fitzroy Square, London W.1. on Thursday October 14th, commencing at 7.30. The very interesting panel of speaker consists of

Bertrand Russell, O.M., F.R.S.;
William Warbey, M.P.;
and Olive Gibbs, chairman of CND.

These three speakers will answer the question: How has one year of Labour Government affected the cause of disarmament and world peace? Tickets, 2/- each, can be obtained from YCND, 14, Grays Inn Rd., London WC 1.

BRISTOL TO DISCUSS "HOW TO STRENGTHEN LABOUR"

A meeting on the theme of strengthening Labour will be held in Bristol on Sunday 10th, October, at 4, Portland St., Clifton, Bristol 8. (on the suspension Bridge bus route.) Starting at 2.30, the first session will be on "The Labour Movement and the Government" and the speaker will be Tony Topham. After a break for refreshments, a second session; "Building a strong socialist movement" will be held. The latter session is intended mainly for Labour Party members. The conference is sponsored by Voice of the Unions, the Bristol Left Club and The Week.

NORTH EAST BRISTOL C.L.P. SUPPORTS FREE SPEECH CAMPAIGN from Tom Nicholls

At its last meeting North East Bristol Constituency Labour Party passed a resolution deploring the fact that the three Vietnam N.L.F. representatives have been refused admission to Britain. The decision is all the more significant because this constituency has been considered right wing in the past.

THE GAP WIDENS: YOUR MOVE MRS. CASTLE! by A. Miller (Glasgow)

Four main points emerge from the recently published annual report of World Bank (as reported in The Times, 27th September):

- (a) Although the gross national product of the industrialised countries has increased by some \$40,000 million to \$50,000 million in the last four years, aid to the under-developed countries has risen only fractionally;
- (b) The population rise in the developing countries is so rapid that the period 1961 to 1964 has seen these countries' per capita product grow by less than 2%;
- (c) The downward trend of primary prices accelerated towards the end of 1964, making these areas even more dependent on external aid if growth is to continue even at the present snail's pace;
- (d) Perhaps the most important point shown by the Report is the soaring indebtedness of the developing countries. The World Bank estimates that as much as 40% of grants and loans has to be returned to the aid-giving countries to service existing debts. The total of these debt service payments amounts to the staggering figure of \$3,500 million each year, absorbing anything up to 25% of the export earnings of the developing countries.

The following report appeared in the 2nd of October Daily Telegraph:
"Nirmala Mitha, 18, a South African-born Indian girl, was yesterday gaoled for three months at Bradford and recommended for deportation. She had pleaded guilty to failing to comply with a condition imposed by immigration officers when she arrived in this country from India in January. Mr. John Parker, prosecuting, said Mitha, a winder, of Ashgrove, Bradford, was given permission to stay in Britain for one month without taking employment. But she worked at a hosiery factory in Loughborough and later in a wool mill at Bradford.

"Mr. Gareth Read, for Mitha, said she had lived in India since the age of 11 with her parents, who contracted a marriage for her under Hindu law with an Indian living in Britain. When she arrived here, not wishing to go through with the marriage, she took a job. She was accompanied on the flight to Britain by a friend who dealt with all the formalities at Gatwick Airport, and was never told verbally of the conditions imposed, which were stamped in English in her passport. She found no difficulty in obtaining National Insurance cards."

This is evidently what Mr. Wilson means when he says the existing immigration laws will be tightened up. Would this young girl have been so treated had she been white? That is a question which all those who have any doubt about the racist nature of the Government's White Paper should ask themselves. We have come a long way from Mr. Gaitskell's "Brotherhood of man" amendment to Clause Four. This measure must be fought all the way.

YOUNG SOCIALIST LEADER RESIGNS OVER WHITE PAPER VOTE from Barbara Tarback

Mr. John Chapman, 23-year-old Chairman of the Birmingham Federation of Young Socialists has resigned from the Labour Party in protest against the vote at the party conference in Blackpool endorsing the Government's policy on immigration. In a statement issued on October 4th he said: "Like many other people in the party, I feel the Government's White Paper is a racist measure." Mr. Chapman was also youth officer of Handsworth Ward Labour Party and secretary of Handsworth Young Socialists. He said he no intention of joining either the Liberal or Communist parties. He continued: "I am sorry to have to take this step especially at having to say good-bye to all my friends in the Federation."

Councillor Beardwood, the Federation's public relations officer said that the Federation as a whole had been opposed to the White Paper. "On the other hand, we do not think this is something you resign over," he continued, "We think this is something you stay and fight."

ADVERTISEMENT"CRISIS FOR THE YOUNG SOCIALISTS"

Meeting organised by the Ipswich Young Socialists to discuss the future of the Young Socialists' movement.

It is hoped to have a speaker from the "Save the Young Socialists" campaign.

Time and place: Sunday 17th October, 2.30 p.m. Labour Club, Silent Street, Ipswich.

For further details write: G. Pulham, 71, Melville Rd., Ipswich.

HATCHERY AND HUMBUG

(Bertrand Russell's Statement about the Visa "debate".)

The arbitrary refusal of the Labour Party Executive to permit the Emergency Resolution on visas for the Vietnamese even to come to debate in the Labour Party Conference sums up the authoritarian and heavy-handed bigotry of this Government. Mr Ray Gunter, the Party bouncer, continued his role as hatchet man by cutting off the microphone so that Ken Coates case for Free Speech could not be heard at the conference. The record is a touchstone of the tawdry deceit of Harold Wilson's government. First visas are refused without explanation. Next a delegation of MPs, a Field Marshall, an Archbishop and a Bishop is rejected by the Home Secretary. Then the emergency resolution placing the matter before the Party Conference is disallowed without explanation and finally the mover is silenced before his words can be heard.

In the mind of R. Gunter, Party bully, 1984 precedes 1931. Fifty-one years in the Labour Party bring analogies to mind but the humbug of Macdonald and his minor men appear as a mere intimation of what Wilson and his mindless minions are doing to the Labour movement.

THE WHITE PAPER KITE.

It would be interesting to know just how the rumour started that those behind the Emergency Resolution on Immigration were thinking of accepting Remission to the N.E.C. if called for. A get-together of most of those delegates whose Parties had sent in Emergencies on the subject made it clear that not one of them were willing to make any concession.

The feeling was that this was one issue on which a clear cut decision has to be reached by Conference: The Press, the public and the Labour movement as a whole must know just where the Party stands on this real issue of principle.

Whether the British Labour movement can face the rest of the world - and particularly the newly emergent countries - will depend on the trade union delegates, certainly if they can agree with the bulk of Constituency Parties the movement will have salvaged some of its idealism.

* * * * *

ARMS AND LABOUR. A new pamphlet by Konni Zilliacus M.P.

The main arguments and conclusions have been endorsed by forty Labour members of Parliament.

order from: Labour CND, 14, Grays Inn Road, London W.C. 1.

Price 2/6d.

WILSON ON IMMIGRATION

Yesterday Mr Wilson used his undoubted eloquence to justify the White Paper on Immigration. He made it quite clear that the proposed legislation was a decision of the Government as a whole and not of any one Department. It is the Labour Government which is responsible for this shameful act which violates the most basic principles of the Movement. He defended, not only the limitations on Commonwealth immigrants but also the new powers given to the Home Office in respect of deportation. These, he claimed, would only be used against those who have illegally and fraudulently entered the country. But can he guarantee that a Tory Home Secretary (or Frank Soskice for that matter) would always put this liberal interpretation on the so-called repatriation clauses?

Elsewhere in his speech, the Prime Minister said: "We will not trade ... any ... principle with those who may be faint of heart or infirm of purpose." Fine words. But what has happened to those principles which Labour's leaders so passionately espoused when they opposed the Tory Immigration Act in 1962? The only basic fact that has changed since then is that the Tories won Smethwick on a racialist platform. Has clinging to office become the most important of Socialist principles?

Mr Wilson maintained that new measure for immigrant control was necessary because "there are towns and cities in Britain" (asked) to absorb immigration on a scale beyond their social capacity to absorb." How was this established? There has not been any efficient research into this question. It is a conclusion arbitrarily arrived at to fit into preconceived prejudices. In any case, the White Paper does not propose a ceiling on immigration as a whole - only on Commonwealth immigrants. Only, in fact, on coloured immigrants.

"We cannot" said Mr Wilson, "take the risk of allowing the democracy of this country to become stained, tarnished with the taint of racialism or of colour prejudice." by its implicit assertion that people from certain countries are "undesirable", the White Paper lends fuel to the racialist incendiaries which they will not fail to ignite.

Perhaps unfortunately for the P.M., the 1961 Census, published on the same day that he spoke, shows that in Greater London immigrants constituted 2.4 per cent of the total population; in the West Midlands they made up 1.3 per cent; elsewhere less than 1 per cent. Does this really constitute a problem with which Labour Britain cannot cope?

Let the Government emphasise the point which immigrants are making ^{to} our economy. Tell the people that 94 per cent of immigrants are employed. Throw in the teeth of the racialists the charge that they are all living on public assistance and vice. Tell them that the largest group of immigrants are staffing our National Health Service. How many hospital wards would have to close if it were not for the 13,000 immigrant nurses? Tell those who wait patiently in the bus queues that they would have to wait even longer were it not for the 11,000 immigrants employed in public transport. As for houses, that favourite bogeyman of the racialists - in 1961 there were 8,500 immigrants in the building industry.

Delegates have the chance today to wipe this shameful page out of Labour's book. Throw out this White Paper and tell the world that racialism is foreign to the spirit of British Labour. We have proclaimed the Brotherhood of Man from the platform. Let us now translate it into action by a positive gesture. Tell the Government that this White Paper is not wanted: tell them to listen to the voice of Socialism and not to the jungle noises which emerged from the hustings of Smethwick.